

IN THE SPECIFICATION

On page 1, line 9, after "1994," please insert --now
B, abandoned, which is a continuation of application Serial No.
08/000,363, filed January 4, 1993, now U.S. Patent No. 5,325,419.--

RESPONSE

Applicants traverse the double patenting rejection of claims 1-102 and request reconsideration. Claims 1-102 were rejected under the doctrine of obviousness type double patenting over claims 1-65 of U.S. Patent No. 5,325,419 (Connolly) in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,775,997 (West). Claims 1-65 of Connolly are directed to a wireless digital personal communications system for use with portable handset terminals having distributed logic resident therein. These claims all include elements that are directed to a personal communication system that includes portable handset terminals. As explained in the specification, "[o]ne of the advantages of PCS is that it will use a single communications device to reach anyone, anytime, anywhere." (Col. 2, Lines 6-8) For example, Claims 1-65 all include elements requiring a means for seeking and determining intercell hand-off from an existing radio cell base station to a second radio cell base station. This element allows a user to move from one radio cell coverage area to another. (Col. 11, Lines 43-46) Therefore, claims 1-65 are directed to a system useful with portable handset terminals.

West, on the other hand, only teaches that a wireless radio transceiver may be connected to a standard telephone set. There has been no showing that it would have been obvious to modify the claimed system of Connolly to include elements as recited in